

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/666,140	09/20/2000	Joseph G. Barrett	06975-131001 5787		
26171	7590 08/15/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			NGUYEN, VAN KIM T		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
			2151		
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/666,140	BARRETT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151

•		Air C 0 iii C				
	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	* *	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	i within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		Coddoc			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:	-	7 s. M				
	Ø.	and Long P.E.2X51				
	3.	1.0.4X51.				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument is not persuasive.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(e) as being anticipated by Eichstaedt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,662,230), hereinafter Eichstaedt.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 13-16, 23-26, and 34-35, as shown in Figures 1-6, Eichstaedt discloses:

monitoring a computer system for connection transactions between multiple requestors (12, 14, 16) and multiple access providers (18, 20) using a switching component (22, 11) connected to the multiple access providers (col. 5: lines 32-39; and col. 11: lines 62-67);

denying access by an attacking access requestor (16) to the access providers (18) when a number of connection transactions initiated by the attacking access requestor (e.g., request values) through the switching component (11) exceeds a configurable threshold number (e.g., maximum request values) during a first configurable period of time (col. 6: lines 43-61; and col. 12: lines 3-20).

Regarding claims 3-4 and 6-7, Eichstaedt also discloses the monitoring further includes counting and comparing the number of connection transactions initiated by the access requestors (e.g., request values) through the switching component (11) during the first configurable period of time (t1) to the configurable threshold (e.g., a comparison between the calculated request values and a predefined maximum value is made; col. 7: lines 5-10 and lines 21-49).

Regarding claims 5, 8-9, 18-19, and 28-29, Eichstaedt also discloses the monitoring includes detecting connection transactions between multiple Internet protocol addresses and the access providers with the switching components (col. 5: lines 32-39; and col. 7: lines 23-49).

Regarding claims 17 and 27, the aforementioned claims contain similar limitations to those limitations of claims 1 and 3-4 therefore the same grounds of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claims 10-12, 20-22, and 30-33, Eichstaedt discloses that the denying of access includes denying access to the access providers through the switching component (11) by the attacking access requestor (16) for a second configurable period of time (ti) after detecting a most recent connection transaction initiated by the attacking requestor through the switching component (col. 4: lines 12-17; and col. 7: lines 31-49).

Regarding claims 36, Eichstaedt also discloses a host computer system (21) receives communication from the switching component.

Regarding claims 37, Eichstaedt also discloses the switching system (11) is included in a host system (21).